

Planning Team Report

ŀ

Warringah LEP Heritage Amendments

Proposal Title :	Warringah LEP Heritage Amen	dments	
Proposal Summary :	Council has prepared a planning proposal (PP) to amend Schedule 5 - Environmental Heritage and the Heritage Maps of Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 to: 1. correct various errors and anomalies; 2. add 14 new local heritage items; 3. amend 3 existing local items; 4. add 10 existing State agency listings; and 3. delete 2 local heritage items.		
PP Number :	PP_2013_WARRI_001_00	Dop File No :	13/08046-1
roposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	14-May-2013	LGA covered :	Warringah
Region :	Sydney Region East	RPA:	Warringah Council
State Electorate :	WAKEHURST	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Housekeeping		
Location Details			
	rious	0	D. I. J. 9400
Suburb : Var	rious City :	Sydney	Postcode : 2100
	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Nabil Alaeddine		
Contact Number :	0292286593		
Contact Email :	Nabil.alaeddine@planning.nsw.	gov.au	
RPA Contact Detai	llS		
Contact Name :	Janine Formica		
Contact Number :	0299422662		
Contact Email :	Janine.Formica@warringah.nsw	/.gov.au	
	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			

Warringah LEP Heritage Amendments

Land Release Data				
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North East subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο			
If Yes, comment :	The Department of Planning and Infrastructure is not aware of any meetings or communications with registered lobbyists concerning this Planning Proposal.			
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :	 Council currently has delegation to make plan and has submitted: Attachment 1 - Information Checklist; Attachment 4 - Evaluation Criteria for the Delegation of Plan Making Functions; and included a Project Timeline of 9 months to complete the plan. 			
	Council's request for delegation is supported.			
	2. The proposal deals with heritage items only and does not propose rezoning of land for housing or employment.			
External Supporting Notes :				
Adequacy Assessmen	t		MI CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR	
Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)				
Is a statement of the ob	Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes			
Comment :	The planning proposal seeks to make the following amendments to Schedule 5 - Environmental Heritage and the Heritage Maps of the WLEP 2011. 1. Correct various errors and anomalies 2. Add 14 new local heritage items 3. Amend 3 existing local heritage items 4. Add 10 existing State agency listings 5. Remove 2 local heritage items.			
	The statement of the objective list of local heritage items but Heritage items.			

	itage Amendments			
x	aims to correct errors	ctives should be amended to indicate that the planning proposal and anomalies with Heritage maps and Schedule 5, following a ge review undertaken by Council.		
xplanation of p	ovisions provided - s55	(2)(b)		
Is an explanation of	provisions provided? Yes			
Comment :	The explanation of pre	The explanation of provisions is adequate.		
	The planning proposa			
	-	Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2011 Schedule 5		
	2. Revoke the followi	ng Heritage maps;		
		M_HER_001_020_20110919		
		M_HER_007_020_20110919		
		0M_HER_008_020_20110919 0M_HER_008A_010_20110919		
		M HER_009_020_20110919		
	_	M_HER_010_020_20110919		
	- HER 010A 1800_C	OM_HER_010A_010_20110919		
	3. Adopt the following	g Heritage Maps;		
	- HER 001 1800_CO	M_HER_001_020_20130423		
	- HER 002 1800_CO	0M_HER_002_020_20130423		
		M_HER_004_020_20130423		
		M_HER_006_020_20130423		
	_	M_HER_007_020_20130423		
		0M_HER_008_020_20130423 :OM_HER_008A_010_20130423		
		M_HER_009_020_20130423		
		M_HER_010_020_20130423		
	- HER 010A 1800_C	OM_HER_010A_010_20130423		
ustification - s5	5 (2)(c)			
a) Has Council's str	ategy been agreed to by the D	irector General? No		
b) S.117 directions i	dentified by RPA :	2.3 Heritage Conservation		
* May need the Dire	ctor General's agreement			
Is the Director G	eneral's agreement required?	No		
c) Consistent with S	tandard Instrument (LEPs) Or	der 2006 : Yes		
d) Which SEPPs ha	ve the RPA identified?	SEPP No 6—Number of Storeys in a Building SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007		
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		ction 117 Direction - 2.3 Heritage Conservation is to conserve items, places of environmental heritage significance and indigenous e.		
	excluded in error fro	sal involves the inclusion of 10 State Heritage items previously om the Warringah LEP 2011. This is of minor significance and does ctor General's approval.		
		ocal items proposed for deletion, Council has identified that these dified significantly over time and no longer warrant listing.		
		ocal items proposed to be modified, Council proposes to expand de significant vegetation considered endangered under the		

Warringah LEP Heritage Amendments

Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 (TSCA 1995). This is of minor significance and does not require the Director General's approval.

Overall, the proposed changes are considered to be minor inconsistencies and do not require the Director General's approval.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council proposes to undertake community consultation by giving notice of the public exhibition of the planning proposal in the Manly Daily newspaper, on Council's website, by email to community groups and by notification to appropriate land owners. It has not specified a timeframe for exhibition.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relationThe Warringah Comprehensive LEP 2011 was made in December 2011. The planningto Principal LEP :proposal aims to correct anomalies, make amendments and include new Heritage items in
the Warringah LEP 2011 by amending Schedule 5 and the relevant Heritage Maps.

Assessment Criteria

Need for proposal	This planning proposal is a direct result of recommendations of the Warringah Community Based Heritage Review (WCBHR), which was completed in February 2013.
	The review of existing heritage items in the WCBHR identified anomalies and errors in the current Heritage list.
	Council invited the community to nominate new listings between 9 April 2011 and 29 April 2011. In response, 69 submissions were received and over 100 properties were nominated.
	All nominations were assessed in accordance with the criteria contained within the NSW Heritage publication "Assessing Heritage Significance". Council also used heritage specialists on its Warringah Heritage Panel to assess each nomination and determine whether or not it met the criteria for heritage listing.
	The nomination/assessment process resulted in the recommendation to - list fifteen (15) new local heritage items;

		sting listing of three (3) items; and existing local items.	
		Council resolved to remove one recomm inal list of (14) new local heritage items.	ended item and modify
	A planning proposa	al is necessary to implement these chang	jes.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :		osal is consistent with the objectives and r, the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 y 2031.	
	•	has advised that the planning proposal i 012 in that updating the heritage list was	
Environmental social economic impacts :		d that the planning proposal will likely han a solution of the secological communities or their hal	
	There is also unlike	ely to be any environmental effects as a r	result of the planning proposal.
	Council has advised that the social benefits related to the preservation of European heritage and identifying how Warringah has changed is the key purpose of the planning proposal.		
		dvised that the economic effects will be r ed properties will be affected; however c evelopment.	
ssessment Proces	S		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make	9 Month	Delegation	RPA
LEP : Public Authority	Office of Environm	ent and Heritage	
LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)		ent and Heritage No	
LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) : Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?		2
LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) Is Public Hearing by the (2)(a) Should the matte	e PAC required?	Νο	
LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) Is Public Hearing by the (2)(a) Should the matte If no, provide reasons :	e PAC required? r proceed ?	Νο	Å
LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) Is Public Hearing by the (2)(a) Should the matte If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(e PAC required? r proceed ?	Νο	ž
LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) Is Public Hearing by the (2)(a) Should the matte If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional s	e PAC required? r proceed ? (b) : No	Νο	
LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) Is Public Hearing by the (2)(a) Should the matte If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(If Yes, reasons :	e PAC required? r proceed ? (b) : No studies, if required. :	Νο	

 \mathbf{k}

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Warringah Community Based Heritage Study	Study	Yes
Review.pdf		
Warringah LEP 2011_Heritage Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Warringah Heritage Study_New heritage sites.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Warringah Heritage Study_Alterations.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Warringah Council Review_Final Report_Ordinary	Proposal	Yes
Council - 20130326 - Item Number 8.6 - Warringah		
Council.pdf		
Signed cover letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
1800_COM_HER_001_020_20130423.pdf	Мар	Yes
1800_COM_HER_002_020_20130423.pdf	Мар	Yes
1800_COM_HER_004_020_20130423.pdf	Мар	Yes
1800_COM_HER_006_020_20130423.pdf	Мар	Yes
1800_COM_HER_007_020_20130423.pdf	Мар	Yes
1800_COM_HER_008_020_20130423.pdf	Мар	Yes
1800_COM_HER_008A_010_20130423.pdf	Мар	Yes
1800_COM_HER_009_020_20130423.pdf	Мар	Yes
1800_COM_HER_010_020_20130423.pdf	Мар	Yes
1800_COM_HER_010A_010_20130423.pdf	Мар	Yes
Warringah Heritage Review_Information Checklist.pdf	Proposal	No
Warringah Heritage Review_Project Timeline.pdf	Proposal	No
Warringah Heritage Review_Evaluation Criteria for the	Proposal	No
Delegation of Plan Making functions.pdf		

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	2.3 Heritage Conservation
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the Planning Proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. The Planning Proposal is exhibited for 14 days; and
	2. The Planning Proposal is completed in 9 months time.
	3. Council proposes to progress the Planning Proposal under delegation. This matter is considered to be of local significance and the use of Council's delegation is supported.
	4. Consultation is required with the owners of the final list of nominated properties. The outcome of the consultations should be included in the planning proposal for assessment.
	5. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:
	Office of Environment and Heritage
	6. The Planning Proposal is consistent with all relevant s117 Directions and no further consideration of s117 Directions is required.
	The RPA should be advised that: 1. The Planning Proposal should be amended prior to exhibition along the following

Warringah LEP Heritag	je Amendments
	lines in the 'Objectives' section.
Ŕ	a) The Planning Proposal aims to correct errors and anomalies with heritage maps and Schedule 5, following a comprehensive heritage review undertaken by Council.
	2. No further studies are required.
Supporting Reasons	The proposal should be allowed to proceed as it updates heritage information and corrects anomalies.
Signature:	DPitney
Printed Name:	DAVID PITNET Date: 17/5/13